	Case 2:09-cv-00444-SRB	Document 61	Filed 02/05/10	Page 1 of 3	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA				
8					
9	United States of America,	)	No. CV09-0444	-PHX-SRB	
10	Plaintiff,	)	ORDER		
11	VS.	)			
12	Maria D. Forman, at al	)			
13	Maria D. Forman, et al.,				
14	Defendants.	)			
15		)			
16					
17	The Court has reviewed the United States' Motion to Strike Defendant DLP 13's				
18	Answer and Motion to Dismiss, the response and the reply.				
19	IT IS ORDERED denying the United States' Motion to Strike Defendant DLP 13's				
20	Answer and Motion to Dismiss. While the Court agrees that Defendant Vild cannot represent				
21	any party but himself, at this stage of the case the Court cannot yet conclude that Defendant				
22	Vild, who is named as a Defendant, does not have an interest. (Doc. 36).				
23	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying Defendant Vild's Motion for Dismissal; or in				
24	the Alternative Plaintiff to Identify all Live Body Plaintiffs as plainly without merit. (Doc.				
25	24).				
26	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying Defendant Vild's Second Motion for Dismissal				
27	and Third Motion to Dismissal as plainly without merit. (Docs. 37 & 38).				
28					

Case 2:09-cv-00444-SRB Document 61 Filed 02/05/10 Page 2 of 3

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying Defendant Vild's Demand for Judge Without			
2	Conflict of Interest. Defendant Vild has set forth no grounds that would require this Court			
3	to recuse. (Doc. 39).			
4	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying as moot Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Defendant			
5	DLP LT 13's Second Motion to Dismiss, Third Motion to Dismiss and Demand for Judge			
6	Without Conflict of Interest. (Doc. 41).			
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that because Defendant Vild's document entitled			
8	"Jurisdiction Challenged" raises no facts which would cause this Court to question its			
9	jurisdiction, no response by Plaintiff is required and Defendant Vild's Motion for Proper			
10	Procedure is denied. (Doc. 42).			
11	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying Defendant Vild's Motion to Strike all of			
12	Plaintiff's Pleadings as plainly without merit. (Doc. 43).			
13	The Court has reviewed United States' Second Motion for Leave to File Amended			
14	Complaint, the response and the reply.			
15	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED granting the United States' Second Motion for Leave			
16	to File Amended Complaint. (Doc. 44). The Clerk shall file in the Lodged Proposed Second			
17	Amended Complaint lodged on January 11, 2010.			
18	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying as plainly without merit Defendant Vild's			
19	Notice to the Court; DLP LT 13 Not a Trust Verbiage; Motion for Dismissal for Lack of			
20	Proof, Defendant Vild's Demand for Signatures, Motion to Dismiss for Harassment and Lack			
21	of Facts, and Motion to Dismiss; Jurisdiction Not Proven; Rules of Court Ignored; Live Body			
22	Plaintiff(s) Not Produced. (Docs. 52, 50, 51, & 53).			
23	///			
24	///			
25	///			
26	///			
27	///			
28				
	- 2 -			

Case 2:09-cv-00444-SRB Document 61 Filed 02/05/10 Page 3 of 3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED denying as moot the United States' Motion to Strike Defendant DLP LT 13's Additional Motions to Dismiss (Doc. No. 50, 51, & 53) and Motion/Demand for Signatures (Doc. No. 52). (Doc. 59). DATED this 5<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2010. Susan United States District Judge - 3 -